PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
То:	PCT				
	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
Dr Ludwig Brann Patentbyrå AB Box 17192	(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)				
104 62 Stockholm	0.0.00 2005				
	Date of mailing 0 3 -03- 2005 (day/month/year)				
Applicant's or agent's file reference P06928PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
International application No. International filing da	te (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/SE2004/001745 25.11.2004	27.11.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classif	fication and IPC				
A61L 27/30, A61K 6/06, A61K 6/27	7, C04B 7/32, C04B 41/52				
Applicant	·				
Doxa Certex Aktiebolag et al	·				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE	Authorized officer				
Patent- och registreringsverket					
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	Erika Stenroos/BS				
Esseinila No. 146 9 667 72 88	Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/SE2004/001745

Bo	k No. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	which it v	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following the control of the c	
	ar	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (and 23.1(b)).	inder Rules 12.3
2.	With rega	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and no invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ecessary to the
	a. type o	of material	
	片	a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format	at of material	
	닏	in written format	
		in computer readable form	
	c. time o	of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed.	
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copy that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were	ies is identical to
4.	Addition	nal comments:	
ŀ			

 2^{-1}

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/SE2004/001745

under Rule 43 <i>bis.</i> 1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial os and explanations supporting such statement		ventive step or moustrial
Claims	1-35	YES
Claims		NO NO
Claims	1-35	YES
Claims		NO
Claims	1-35	YES
Claims		NO
	Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims	Claims 1-35 Claims 1-35 Claims 1-35 Claims 1-35 Claims 1-35

2. Citations and explanations:

The documents cited in the International Search Report represent the general state of the art. The invention defined in claims 1-35 is not disclosed by any of these documents.

The cited prior art does not give any indication that would lead a person skilled in the art to the claimed coated implant for in vivo anchoring to a biological tissue. Therefore, the claimed invention is not obvious to a person skilled in the art.

Accordingly, the invention defined in claims 1-35 is novel and is considered to involve an inventive step. The invention is industrially applicable.